Health Insurance

EXTENSION OF REMARKS OF

HON. ROBERT F. WAGNER
OF NEW YORK

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Monday, March 13 (legislative day of Monday, February 7), 1944

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the Appendix of the Record a notable series of articles on the subject of health insurance, under the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill. They were written by Albert Dulles and published in the newspaper PM. I have received from the Public Printer an estimate that the printing of the articles will cost $293.50.

The Senate agreed to the request without objection, the articles were ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

Organized Medicine and Gannett Group

Sama WAGNER Health Bill

By Albert Dulles

I. MEDICINE, POLITICS, AND PROPAGANDA

Organized medicine has joined hands with a time of the most reactionary elements in waging a propaganda campaign against the New Deal, calling the administration that is as unprincipled as it is unprincipled.

The immediate object of the campaign is to defeat the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill (S. 1161) for the expansion of social security and the establishment of a national health-insurance system. The slant of the propaganda against the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill has, in ultimate purpose to discredit the whole New Deal and pave the way for its defeat; in 1944, a second objective, by means of a stripdown propaganda group for the American Medical Association, calling itself the National Physicians Committee for the Extension of Medical Service, (N. P. C.), this agency is the product of a backstairs courtship between the American Medical Association and Frank Gannett's ultra-reactionary committee to uphold constitutional government.

Active and organization medicine in this campaign, financially and otherwise, are the Nation's most powerful drug manufacturers, private insurance companies, and right-wing Republican politicians.

Under the guise of striving to keep medicine free from political control, this campaign has plumped organized medicine right into the middle of the worst kind of political activity, for which the American doctors who are honestly opposed to the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill will be stunned and angered. I am sure, by the revelations that will follow, showing how leaders of medicine have tied their profession to the purse strings and apron strings of reactionary political and economic forces.

The Nation is being flooded with millions of pamphlets denouncing the Wagner bill as a step toward totalitarian dictatorship, communism, fascism, and general regimentation. The National Physicians Committee has already distributed 250,000 pamphlets on this theme—one for every tenth man, woman, and child in the United States. The American Medical Association and Frank Gannett's ultra-reactionary committee are rolling off the presses.

Workers aspiring a national health program in this campaign, financially and otherwise, are the Nation's most powerful drug manufacturers, private insurance companies, and right-wing Republican politicians.

The list of members on the physicians' committee was studied with the names of leading lights in organized medicine, in connection with the values, the methods, and the achievements of American medicine.

The Nation is being flooded with millions of pamphlets denouncing the Wagner bill as a step toward totalitarian dictatorship, communism, fascism, and general regimentation. The National Physicians Committee has already distributed 250,000 pamphlets on this theme—one for every tenth man, woman, and child in the United States. The American Medical Association and Frank Gannett's ultra-reactionary committee are rolling off the presses.
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The year 1938 witnessed a powerful drive to defeat the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill. Both claims may be technically correct. The immediate object of the campaign is to defeat the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill (S. 1161) for the expansion of social security and the establishment of a national health-insurance system. The slant of the propaganda against the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill has, in ultimate purpose to discredit the whole New Deal and pave the way for its defeat; in 1944, a second objective, by means of a stripdown propaganda group for the American Medical Association, calling itself the National Physicians Committee for the Extension of Medical Service, (N. P. C.), this agency is the product of a backstairs courtship between the American Medical Association and Frank Gannett's ultra-reactionary committee to uphold constitutional government.
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The Nation is being flooded with millions of pamphlets denouncing the Wagner bill as a step toward totalitarian dictatorship, communism, fascism, and general regimentation. The National Physicians Committee has already distributed 250,000 pamphlets on this theme—one for every tenth man, woman, and child in the United States. The American Medical Association and Frank Gannett's ultra-reactionary committee are rolling off the presses.
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The membership of the National Physicians Committee itself is a significant index of the organized medicine and the Gannett committee:

John M. Pratt, executive administrator of the N. P. C., has been a member in the Gannett-inspired Physicians Committee for Free Enterprise. Although the N. P. C. calls itself an organization of physicians, its net worth is a layman, and an advertising man at that. Among the 10 members of the N. P. C.'s board of trustees:

Dr. Edward C. Cary, chairman, is a former president of the American Medical Association and a former member of the Physicians Committee for Free Enterprise.

Dr. William F. Braesch, secretary of the N. P. C., is a trustee of the American Medical Journal Fund (A. M. J.).

Dr. F. F. Borrell is chairman of an A. M. A. committee, and was a member of the A. M. A. board of trustees from 1940 to 1952.

Dr. William J. Carrington was vice president of the American Medical Association last year.

Dr. John H. Fitzgibbon is also a chairman of an A. M. A. committee and a 1942 member of the board of trustees.

Dr. Wingate M. Johnson, editor of the North Carolina State Medical Journal, is a former member of the Gannett physicians committee.

Dr. Edward J. McCormick is president of the California State Medical Association.

Dr. James M. Robb is a former member of the Gannett committee.

Dr. Edward H. Skinner is a past president of the Jackson County and a former member of the N. P. C.'s branch.

III. THE A. M. A. AND THE N. P. C.

It may be technically true that the American Medical Association has no official connection with the National Physicians Committee, but a significant index of the organized medicine and the Gannett committee:

The A. M. A. has officially approved the N. P. C. and voted to encourage its activities as a matter of A. M. A. policy. Nearly every State or local medical society affiliated with the A. M. A. has also voted a resolution of official endorsement. The N. P. C. has branches in every State and nearly every locality. Invariably the leadership of the State or local N. P. C. branch is identical with the leadership of the medical society in that area.

The A. M. A. and the regional affiliates actively aid the N. P. C.'s solicitation of funds and distribution of propaganda.

Senator Robert L. Wagner, chairman of the House Labor and Education Subcommittee, has asked why the A. M. A. doesn't wage its propaganda campaign openly, instead of through a stooge agency, the N. P. C. It is thus that the A. M. A. engages directly in propaganda work and legislative lobbying, it loses its tax-exemption status—an important consideration in view of the fact that it clears a $600,000-a-year profit from its Journal alone.

Dr. Chester I. Ulmer, chairman of the New Jersey branch of the National Physicians Committee, let the cat out of the bag when he wrote in the New Jersey State Medical Society Journal last year:

"We have received quite a few letters asking why there is a need for a new organization and why cannot the American Medical Association do all of this work?"

"There are definite reasons:

1. By virtue of its charter provisions on education the A. M. A. has been accorded the status of a nonprofit, scientific, educational foundation. As such it has been granted exemption from Federal and State income and social security taxation. A departure from established practice would, in all probability, entail the forfeiture of Federal and State income and social security taxation. A departure from established practice would, in all probability, entail the forfeiture of Federal and State income and social security taxation. This is the kind of propaganda pounded into the medical profession by the unholy triad of the National Physicians Committee, the American Medical Association and the American Medical Journal Fund, under the guise of "nonpolitical" attacks on the Wagner bill.

V. WHO FINANCES THE NATIONAL PHYSICIANS' COMMITTEE?

The budget of the N. P. C., according to John M. Pratt, its executive administrator, amounts to "about $200,000" for the current year. This sum, of course, does not cover the tremendous volume of N. P. C. publicity printed free in medical and lay journals, or N. P. C. propaganda inserted as paid ads in newspapers and other mailings by medical societies, private insurance organizations, and drug manufacturers.

The A. M. A. and the N. P. C. funds come from the medical profession: that other 40 percent comes from the "medical industry." Dr. George M. Coleman, treasurer of the N. P. C., defends the medical industry as "pharmaceutical firms and that sort of thing." It is known that several of the biggest drug houses contribute large sums to the N. P. C.

The N. P. C. doesn't publish a regular financial statement. It is extremely secretive about the specific sources of its funds.

"We don't broadcast our finances," Dr. Coleman told the Chicago correspondent. "We say we spend what we spend. We just don't break it down."

When our correspondent asked Coleman if the N. P. C. propaganda material dealt mainly with legislative matters, he answered:

"Oh, no. We are trying to preserve the practice of individual enterprise, private enterprise, initiative. They're plain words that your man Deutch probably won't like. But we want to keep medicine the way it's always been. It's done a darn good job."

Besides donating big sums to the N. P. C., big-business manufacturers have offered their facilities to help distribute the Gannett committee's literature. The Portland, Ore., division of the McKesson & Robbins Wholesale Drug Co., for instance, has packed hundreds of N. P. C. pamphlets in each regular shipment to retail drug stores, for distribution to customers.

The Portland Warehousemen's Union, Local 203, A. F. of L., protested against this practice, but to no avail.

The A. M. A. also has enlisted in the N. P. C. propaganda drive. The president of the United Cigar-Whelan Stores Corporation, last month sent a letter to every single letterhead in the country—"in red ink"—announcing the Wagner bill as an effort to regiment the medical profession and to destroy competition in the state medical profession.

The letter promised that:

"The enclosed leaflet, issued by the National Physicians Committee for the Extension of Medical Service, will be distributed to our customers in every Whelan drug store throughout the country—we will see to it that these pamphlets are handed to you personally."

"It is a privilege to serve the medical profession on all occasions. We like people to believe that individual doctors are falling over one another to get the Wagner bill passed. It's done a darn good job."

"We have received quite a few letters asking why there is a need for a new organization and why cannot the American Medical Association do all of this work?"

"There are definite reasons:

1. By virtue of its charter provisions on education the A. M. A. has been accorded the status of a nonprofit, scientific, educational foundation. As such it has been granted exemption from Federal and State income and social security taxation. A departure from established practice would, in all probability, entail the forfeiture of Federal and State income and social security taxation. This is the kind of propaganda pounded into the medical profession by the unholy triad of the National Physicians Committee, the American Medical Association and the American Medical Journal Fund, under the guise of "nonpolitical" attacks on the Wagner bill.
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The budget of the N. P. C., according to John M. Pratt, its executive administrator, amounts to "about $200,000" for the current year. This sum, of course, does not cover the tremendous volume of N. P. C. publicity printed free in medical and lay journals, or N. P. C. propaganda inserted as paid ads in newspapers and other mailings by medical societies, private insurance organizations, and drug manufacturers.

The A. M. A. and the N. P. C. funds come from the medical profession: that other 40 percent comes from the "medical industry." Dr. George M. Coleman, treasurer of the N. P. C., defends the medical industry as "pharmaceutical firms and that sort of thing." It is known that several of the biggest drug houses contribute large sums to the N. P. C.

The N. P. C. doesn't publish a regular financial statement. It is extremely secretive about the specific sources of its funds.

"We don't broadcast our finances," Dr. Coleman told the Chicago correspondent. "We say we spend what we spend. We just don't break it down."

When our correspondent asked Coleman if the N. P. C. propaganda material dealt mainly with legislative matters, he answered:

"Oh, no. We are trying to preserve the practice of individual enterprise, private enterprise, initiative. They're plain words that your man Deutch probably won't like. But we want to keep medicine the way it's always been. It's done a darn good job."

Besides donating big sums to the N. P. C., big-business manufacturers have offered their facilities to help distribute the Gannett committee's literature. The Portland, Ore., division of the McKesson & Robbins Wholesale Drug Co., for instance, has packed hundreds of N. P. C. pamphlets in each regular shipment to retail drug stores, for distribution to customers.

The Portland Warehousemen's Union, Local 203, A. F. of L., protested against this practice, but to no avail.

The A. M. A. also has enlisted in the N. P. C. propaganda drive. The president of the United Cigar-Whelan Stores Corporation, last month sent a letter to every letterhead in the country—"in red ink"—announcing the Wagner bill as an effort to regiment the medical profession and to destroy competition in the state medical profession.

The letter promised that:

"The enclosed leaflet, issued by the National Physicians Committee for the Extension of Medical Service, will be distributed to our customers in every Whelan drug store throughout the country—we will see to it that these pamphlets are handed to you personally."

"It is a privilege to serve the medical profession on all occasions. We like people to believe that individual doctors are falling over one another to get the Wagner bill passed. It's done a darn good job."
another in an eager rush to donate funds to the agency. The fact is that its support from the public and from the medical community is jeopardized by the action of powerful outside sources with dubious intentions. This infection has reached the medical profession through editorials in the press, and the radio. The executive administrator and chief propagandist of the N. P. C. is John M. Pratt, a self-styled advertising expert who was executive director of the Gannett-connected Physicians Committee for Constitutional Twists in Medicine until exposures of its political connections forced it out of business in 1939.

John M. Pratt is the author of what is probably the most widely circulated pamphlet ever published in the United States of America. Fifteen million copies of this single pamphlet have already been distributed; millions more are rolling off the press.

Yesterday the Physicians’ Forum, another group, consisting of some 250 doctors, sincerely concerned with the problem of improving medical care, came out with a statement endorsing the general principles of the Wagner-Murray bill. The membership of this liberal committee includes some of the most eminent names in American medicine. Dr. Channing Frothingham, the Harvard Medical School is chairman. The July declaration of the committee of physicians on public health and social security recommends the enactment of the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill. But so far these voices are a minority in medicine. The organized skullguddagey goes on, instigated and directed in part by reactionist doctors of Christian County to disregard so sweeping improvements in our whole social security system. The health and medical agencies, which would create a Federal health insurance trust fund. The social-insurance program as part of the social-security program, all payroll taxes would be 12 percent, with employer and employee each contributing 6 percent. This medicine would go into a social insurance trust fund. One-fourth of the total—amounting to an estimated $3,000,000,000 annually—would be paid by the Social Security Administration.

Here’s how the medical and hospital insurance program would work:

Each insured worker and his dependents would be entitled to medical service. The Surgeon General in formulating policies for administering the program. To finance the entire social-insurance program, all payroll taxes would be 12 percent, with employer and employee each contributing 6 percent. This money would go into a social security fund. One-fourth of the total—amounting to an estimated $3,000,000,000 annually—would be paid by the Social Security Administration.

This pamphlet, as we shall show, is composed largely of innuendos, half-truths, distortions, and downright lies. The pro-rated provisions of the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill. It makes free use of the propaganda equivalents of brass knucks, eye gouging, groin kicking, and rabbit punching in its unprincipled attack on the bill and its sponsors.

Yet this pamphlet has become a bible of organized medicine. Its falsifications are solemnly repeated as gospel truth in medical journals and in floods of printed matter sent to members from the headquarters of the American Medical Association and its local affiliates. The first edition of this pamphlet was entitled ‘Abolishing Private Medical Practice: 83,048,000,000 of Political Medicine in the United States.’ The author’s name did not appear on this pamphlet.

Succeeding editions of the same pamphlet bear the title: ‘Abolishing Private Medical Practice, or Prelude to Centralized Control of the Profession of Industry.’ These copies all bear the signature of the author, John M. Pratt, erstwhile Gannett
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man. When Mr. Pratt was asked to explain the discrepancy, he replied:

"The first edition was intended exclusively for the medical profession. The others are for general consumption."

"Medical men now do not relish having authoritative statements on medical practice written by laymen. So we were asked to do something in consequence," Mr. Pratt, in their edition of the pamphlet distributed in the name of organized medicine. The title change in the succeeding editions was actually aimed at stamping nondenominal groups into active opposition to the Wagner bill.

The very title of the pamphlet is misleading. The Wagner bill neither strives for nor would lead to the abolition of private medical practice. The bill specifically provides safeguards for private medicine. In many countries, private medical practice flourishes side by side with national health-insurance systems.

The pamphlet, according to the title page, is "a factual analysis of the medical and hospitalization provisions of the Wagner-Murray Senate bill 1161 and an explanation of some of their implications." It is not a factual analysis but a propaganda-laden smear; it is not an explanation but a distortion.

The plain-fact statement of the pamphlet is a plain-faced distortion.

FREE SERVICE?

"Senate bill 1161." It says "makes provision for free general medical, special medical, laboratory, and hospital services to any American citizen at less cost."

Nothing is given away free under the Wagner bill, any more than it would be in any other system of contributory insurance, public or private. The medical and hospital care provided by the bill could be paid for by the beneficiaries on a bona fide insurance basis. Employers contribute 6 percent of their wages up to $3,000 into the present social-security fund. The potential can be understood only by comparing the American way.

"The processes proposed and the mechanisms indicated (in the Wagner bill) are designed to act as the catalyst in transforming a "totalitarian state." The real and laudable aims of the bill's health-insurance provisions, as ably stated by Mr. Reagan, Senator James E. Murray, of Montana, are included as nearly universal coverage as possible to ensure the widest possible spread of risks and cost of medical and hospital care.

Unrestricted access to all necessary medical care for the people, regardless of how low their incomes.

Preservation of standards of medical care.

Preservation of professional independence for the members of the medical profession.

"The pamphlet says: "This bill specifies that any insured doctor may provide service."

"The pamphlet says: "The Surgeon General - * * * is given the power and authority to determine the number of individuals for whom any physician may provide service."

Because: The pamphlet implexes that each doctor may be given a different number of patients, depending on his political pull. The fact is that the bill authorizes the Surgeon General only to set a maximum number of people for all doctors, in order to prevent any physician from assuming the care of more patients than he can handle satisfactorily. Setting this maximum insures higher standards of care for the people covered.

FREE CHOICE?

"The pamphlet says: "The Surgeon General as a czar, a gauleiter, a Hitler, a Mussolini."

The pamphlet deliberately exaggerates the Surgeon General's powers by omitting important qualifying phrases in the bill. It says "the Surgeon General in bold-face type wherever it appears in the pamphlet."

Because: The pamphlet means to confuse and bewilder the reader. It omits the fact that the Surgeon General of the Public Health Service has no power to hire doctors and establish rates of pay, for the Surgeon General's powers by omitting important qualifying phrases in the bill. It says "the Surgeon General in bold-face type wherever it appears in the pamphlet."

Bunk: "The pamphlet makes it appear that the bill would permit more Americans to get better medical care at less cost."

The pamphlet makes it appear that all the 12 percent social-security tax on pay rolls would be new revenue.

Because: Employers already contribute 4 percent of their wages up to $3,000, into the present limited social-security fund.

Bunk: "The pamphlet makes repeated use of the humanism of the Surgeon General's power to hire doctors and establish rates of pay to the" ward committeeman. It depicts the doctors under the bill as mere "pawns of politicians."

Because: "The pamphlet means to confuse and bewilder the reader. It omits the fact that the Surgeon General in bold-face type wherever it appears in the pamphlet."

To prevent any physician from assuming the care of more patients than he can handle satisfactorily. Setting this maximum insures higher standards of care for the people covered.

The pamphlet identifies the present fee-for-service practice of private medicine with the "Christian concept of the sanctity of the human personality" and with "the American way."
other organization in opposing the bill intro-

duction. Big business has grabbed the opportunity to have a respectable profession front for its general attack on the New Deal administra-

tion. Its well-heeled propaganda agencies, notably the Frank Gannett-created Commit-

tee for Constitutional Government, are very-
clely using the issue of health as a vessel in the general direction of right-wing Rep-

erublican party interests.

Even more sinister forces have joined the campaign, trying to exploit propaganda-doped doctors to help finance them. So grave has this situation become, that the New York Com-

merce Chamber—its address directly con-

nected to the Wagner Act—was constrained to carry this warning editorial in its issue of December 4th:

"Aware of the intensity of medical opposition to the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill, cer-

tain medical societies may very naturally, and with the jubilant enthusiasm of Fascist tingles are circulating the profession for funds on the pretext that they, too, are opposed to the bill.

Physicians are determined to resist any attempt to impose bureaucratic dominance on medical practice—but they need not and will not associate themselves with questionable groups in the fight.

N. P. C. ISN'T CHOOSY

"To many it will seem a course of wisdom for the legitimate insurance companies to unite in a pacific assault on the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill. They have not, however, the resources of the better paid medical profession to spend for the benefit of sick people. A recent resolution unanimously adopted at the annual meeting held at Chicago last month, of the National Physicians' Committee, is send-

ing a warning editorial in its issue of December 4th:"

"The N. P. C.'s board of trustees, at their annual meeting held in December, was over-

voted to plug private medical prepayment plans—which were opposed by organized medicine. For the benefit of those doctors who have for the benefit of those doctors who have."

The National Physicians' Committee recently adopted a new line in its attack on the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill. It aims to stimulate private medical insurance plans as a means of heading off the movement for a government-sponsored sys-

tem. The N. P. C.'s board of trustees, at their annual meeting held in December, was over-

"Resolved, that the management commit-

tee be authorized, and the administrator (as-

nouncing the need for a monopoly) and its opposition to the Na-

tionally cooperation of the National Physicians Committee, is send-

ing a warning editorial in its issue of December 4th:"

"The N. P. C.'s board of trustees, at their annual meeting held in December, was over-

voted to plug private medical prepayment plans—which were opposed by organized medicine. For the benefit of those doctors who have for the benefit of those doctors who have..."
indexed the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill as an "epochooking" step toward improving national health care. He described the bill as the "most realistic legislation yet proposed" although it criticized certain aspects of the proposed plan.

Unlike the A. M. A.—which has bitterly attacked the bill without once offering a constructive alternative—scores of physicians have recommended specific changes. These recommendations have been gratefully accepted by the congressional framers of the bill, which have repeatedly stated that it was not drafted as a "perfect instrument" and has welcomed all constructive criticism.

Senator Henry W. Fawcett has sent me the following wire:

"Have just inserted the Physicians Forum statement in the Congressional Record on behalf of Senator James E. Murray and myself. We are pleased that this important group of physicians has taken this view of our measure. The statement is an effective answer from within the profession to the widespread false propaganda against the bill."

The guiding genius in this development is Dr. Morris Fishbein, editor of the A. M. A.'s influential Journal of the American Medical Association, member of several of its important councils, general factotum, and chief spokesman for organized medicine. He is the man who has practiced medicine on his own, but he's one of the greatest promoters and advertising men this country has ever known.

**RULE CLIQUE**

Here's how the magazine Fortune sized up the relationship in analysis of the A. M. A. several years ago:

"If Dr. Fishbein acts and talks and writes like a promoter this is because he is a promoter, and so far he has promoted the American Medical Association to M. A. members by turning it into a powerful trade association. Presumably that is what the doctors want the A. M. A. to be, to be the State societies, who in turn delegate to the national body. It is an organized medicine. Its leaders are recruited from the most prominent men in American life. They have been eminently successful, financially and otherwise, under the present system of medical practice and distribution. They want to preserve it. They deeply resent any efforts to change it."

**UNCONSTRUCTIVE**

While outwardly demagogic organization, the A. M. A. works like a well-oiled oligarchy. Its members are thrice removed from the top officials. Doctors don't join the A. M. A. because they are better off by joining; they join the A. M. A. because their county societies. They vote for their county officials, who in turn name delegates to the State societies, who in turn name delegates to the national body. Nominations of A. M. A. officers are controlled by the ruling hierarchy; these are invariably steam-rollered through without opposition.

The average doctor has no voice in making A. M. A. policy. Decisions are made at the A. M. A. headquarters, by committee and by correspondence. It is the headquarters that decides what the "public" is to think about the A. M. A.'s actions and pronouncements as strictly a one-man show. The A. M. A. is run by a few wise men and is organized to serve them. Its members are thrice removed from the top of organized medicine. Its leaders are recruited from the most prominent men in American life. They have been eminently successful, financially and otherwise, under the present system of medical practice and distribution. They want to preserve it. They deeply resent any efforts to change it.

**LOCAL MEDICAL HEAD ATTACKS DO-NOTHING POLICY OF AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION**

(From Albert Deutsch)

The propaganda barrage loosed by organized medicine against the Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill simply repeats the platitudes and empty opposition manifested by this group toward any and all national health programs. This pattern, recognized by the unprecedented smearing of sponsors and supporters of such programs by the hierarchy of organized medicine, has clearly demonstrated its leadership role in the one-man show. The A. M. A. is run by a few wise men and is organized to serve them. Its leaders are recruited from the most prominent men in American life. They have been eminently successful, financially and otherwise, under the present system of medical practice and distribution. They want to preserve it. They deeply resent any efforts to change it."

"Our national organization (the A. M. A.)," he said, "has been fighting a long succession of proposals, which are brilliantly executed by their own rear-guard actions. It has not only defeated the existence of new conditions only after they have become too obvious to be denied, and declined new principles the latter of which had been adopted by all of the constituent societies have announced these policies, and sometimes after they have become accomplished facts."

"It is time, we think, to stop fighting rear-guard actions and to formulate policies before they become public issues of political interests or public opinion compels us to formulate such policies."

Those are the words of a realistic conservat-
DOCTORS’ GROUP ANALyzES PROVISIONS OF
WAGNER BILL
(By Albert Deutsch)

The Wagner-Murray-Dingell bill for an extended
social-security program gets aloes and criticisms in an analysis made public to-
tday by the Committee of Physicians for the
Improvement of Medical Care.

This group is not to be confused with the American Medical Association’s stooge prop-
aganda agency, the misnamed National
Committee on Social Insurance and Extension of Medi-
cal Service. The Committee of Physicians is
headed by Dr. Channing Frothingham, of Bos-
ton, the general medical practitioners disqual-

Although this reporter disagrees with some parts of the committee of physicians’ report, he
recognizes it as a well-tempered, honest, and constructive statement that stands in sharp
contrast to the dishonest and purely destruc-
tive propaganda issued in the name of or-
ganized medicine.

The committee of physicians has already endorsed the general principles governing the
medical and hospital provisions of the Wag-
ner bill. Today’s analysis approves some spe-
cific parts of the bill, and sharply criticizes others.

Its main point of criticism is that the Wag-
ner bill covers too many people—from 105,-
000 to 150,000 and that it might look wiser at the outset to limit coverage for med-
icare and hospital benefits to those with in-
come of $2,000 or $2,500 a year. (The Wagner
bill covers all wage earners and permits self-
employed and small businessmen to join the plan if 75 per cent of their earnings are under
$2,500.) The committee also suggests that it might be better to finance health insurance
costly and hospital provisions out of general tax funds, instead of making
individual workers and employers con-
tribute to it. This proposal, although well-intentioned, is utterly unrealistic. In the first place, ade-
quate medical care could be guaranteed only by
a much higher average of the population. To set income limits for the beneficiaries might
turn the plan into “poor man’s insur-
ance.” The Wagner bill covers virtually everybody, but everybody pays something into the jackpot—a sound, democratic prin-
ciple of insurance that gets away from the old charity concept. Joe Doakes would pay
in medical care and even to foster its
advancement of knowledge and skill in the pro-
vision of health services and in the preven-
tion of sickness, disability, and premature death.”

Call it cradle-to-grave or womb-to-tomb security. Honestly, does it add up to “bad
medicine” for the American people, as its
opponents claim?

Under Cover Loses Round One
EXTENSION OF REMARKS
OF HON. PAUL W. SHAFER
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Friday, March 10, 1944

Mr. SHAFER. Mr. Speaker, under
permission to extend my remarks, I in-
iclude an article from the National Re-
public, which challenges the communis-
tic snatch book, Under Cover, and its au-
tor of many aliases. This article from such an outstanding American publica-
tion should prove enlightening to thou-
sands who have been duped into buying this book and to others who have unwit-
tingly used it as a basis for talks before community organizations.

UNDER COVER LOSES ROUND ONE

John Roy Carlson (Derouanian), alias George Pagnanelli, alias Thomas L. Decker, alias John Correa, alias Rudolph Elbers, the alien-born author of Under Cover, the largest gold brick in fiction (misnamed nonfiction), for which thousands of duped Americans have handed over $2,275-
00 to date, has lost the first round in his attempted persecution of an American.

Paving the way for the launching of an initial propaganda send-off for Under Cover, Carlson filed serious charges in New York against Policeman James L. Drew, a member of the force for 17 years. Carlson, parading under false colors, did not go into court with clean hands. He filed the charges under an
assumed name (John Roy Carlson), also giv-
ing an incorrect home address. During the hearing under his assumed name, fencing admitted that he had not made an investi-
gation of Policeman Drew, and could not, therefor, sustain the charges he made against Drew.

POLICEMAN DREW EXonerated of CARLSON CHARGES

Policeman Drew, married and the father of five children, had much more at stake than Carlson and his crowd of smear artists who
selected Drew as their initial target in launching Under Cover on the seas of sales. Consequently, Drew employed a renowned at-
torney to defend him. After hearing all the evidence presented in the case, Deputy Com-
misssioner Lyon decided in behalf of Drew,
clearing him and reinstating him to the po-
lice force for 17 years. Carlson, parading
under false colors, did not go into court with clean hands. He filed the charges under an
assumed name (John Roy Carlson), also giv-
ing an incorrect home address. During the hearing under his assumed name, fencing admitted that he had not made an investi-
gation of Policeman Drew, and could not, therefor, sustain the charges he made against Drew.